Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/643,801	BHANOT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	J. E. ANGELL	1635
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>J. E. ANGELL</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Deborah Cadena</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>3 June 2010</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/J. E. ANGELL/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1635	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: APplicant was contacted to discuss the claims and the issues that needed to be addressed before the claims could be allowed. After consulting Applicants, Ms. Cadena returned a telephone call to the Examiner and left a voicemail message suggesting changes to the claims that would be acceptable and that were approved for entry by Examiner's amendment. The proposed amendments were considered and deemed to be acceptable and were entered by Examiner's amendment (see the Examiner's amendment for the specific changes approved by Applicants).